

Appn. No. 10/563,296  
Reply dated April 28, 2008  
Reply to Office Action of March 27, 2008

and search radars, for further prosecution on the merits at this time, without prejudice and without traverse.

Applicants accept that the two inventions are separate and patentably distinct from one another, i.e. each is *prima facie* non-obvious from the other, and applicants are proceeding in reliance thereon.

Applicants now respectfully await the results of an examination on the merits.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.  
Attorneys for Applicants

By



Sheridan Neimark

Registration No. 20,520

SN:tdd

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197  
Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528  
G:\BN\C\cohn\golan8\pto\2008-04-28RESTRICT RESP.doc